

In Re Applica	ation of:)
• •	Paul C. Coffin, et al.	,)
Serial No.:	09/624,798) Confirmation No.: 6517
Filing Date:	07/24/2000	Examiner: J. A. Watko
For:	RECONFIGURABLE CARTRIDGE PROCESSING MODULE FOR STORING CARTRIDGE RECEIVING DEVICES IN A DATA STORAGE SYSTEM)) Group Art Unit: 2652) RECEIVED) JUL 1 4 2003
Docket No.:	10001664-1	Technology Center 2600

CERTIFICATE OF EXPRESS MAILING

I hereby certify that the attached **Transmittal Letter for Response/Amendment (in duplicate)**; **Response under 37 C.F.R. Section 1.111 (8 pages)**; and Post cards for return by the United States **Patent and Trademark Office**, are all being deposited with the United States Postal Service addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, via Express Mail No. EV 175421353 US, on this ______ 8th _____ day of July 2003.

Bruce E. Dahl, Reg. No. 33,670

PATENT APPLICATION

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10001664-1

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Paul C. Coffin, et al.

Confirmation No.: 6517

Application No.: 09/624,798

ort Odins, Colorado 80527-2400

Examiner: J. A. Watko

Filing Date:

July 24, 2000

Group Art Unit: 2652

Title:

RECONFIGURABLE CARTRIDGE PROCESSING MODULE FOR STORING CARTRIDGE

RECEIVING DEVICES IN A DATA STORAGE SYSTEM

RECEIVED

Commissioner for Patents

PO Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

JUL 1 4 2003

Technology Center 2600

TRANSMITTAL LETTER FOR RESPONSE/AMENDMENT

IN THE

on to extend time to respond			
emental Declaration			
Supplemental Declaration			
ment")			
(fee \$			
d			

			Υ	ENTIT	SMALL	HER THAN A	NDED BY OT	AS AME	IMS /	CLAI				
(7) ADDITIONAL FEES		(6) RATE		ENT	NUMBER PRESENT		(4) HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR			HIGHEST NUMBER			(2) CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	(1) FOR
\$		\$18	х	o	=	20		MINUS		16	TOTAL CLAIMS			
\$		\$84	х	0	4 MINUS 4 =		4			4	NDEP. CLAIMS			
\$		\$280	+			CLAIM	DEPENDENT	ULTIPLE	A M	PRESENTATION OF) FIRST			
\$								1			KTENSION FEE			
\$	Ī	FEES	THER I	0										
\$		MENT	MENDI	HIS A	E FOR 1	DDITIONAL FE	TOTAL A							

to Deposit Account 08-2025. At any time during the pendency of this application, please charge any fees required or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 08-2025 pursuant to 37 CFR 1.25. Additionally please charge any fees to Deposit Account 08-2025 under 37 CFR 1.16 through 1.21 inclusive, and any other sections in Title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations that may regulate fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

"Express	Mail"	label	no.	ΕV	175421353 US	

Date of Deposit 07/08/2003

I hereby certify that this is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to: Commissioner, Patents, Alexandria, 22313-1450

Typed Name: Bruce E. Dahl

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce E. Dahl

Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 33,670

Date: 07/08/2003

Telephone No.: (303) 291-3200

Rev 05/03 (TnAmdExp)

- Attach as First Page to Transmitted Papers -

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:

COFFIN, Paul, C., et al.

Serial No. 09/624,798

Filing Date: July 24, 2000

10 For: RECONFIGURABLE CARTRIDGE)
PROCESSING MODULE FOR STORING)
CARTRIDGE RECEIVING DEVICES)

IN A DATA STORAGE SYSTEM

Examiner: Watko, J.A.

Group Art Unit: 2652

Conf. No.: AFCEIVED

JUL 1 4 2003

Technology Center 2600

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.111

To: The Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks P.O. Box 1450
Arlington, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

20

25

30

In response to the Office Action, paper number 13, dated May 5, 2003, please enter the following:

REMARKS

Claims 1-6 and 10-20 are pending. Claims 1-6 and 10-20 are rejected. Re-examination and reconsideration are requested.

In the Office Action, paper number 13, dated May 5, 2003, the examiner rejected claims 1, 5, and 6 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as obvious over Menke, et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,841,744 ("Menke"). The examiner also rejected claims 2-4 and 10-20 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Menke.

Applicants believe that none of the currently-pending claims are anticipated by or obvious over the cited references and respectfully traverse the examiner's rejections for the reasons that will be set forth below.